# EASTERN MOUNTAIN CITIZEN REVIEW PANEL

The panel members provided an overall recommendation for DCBS/DPP to assess more closely the policy supports for all children who are not in their home of origin.

1) In regard to Relative Home Placements, SOP 7E.1.3B indicates that a home evaluation isn't due until 30 days after the placement. Conversely, if the child is in OOHC, the services begin within 72 hours. Concern – a thumbprint of case reviews indicate that some relative placements disrupt before the home evaluation is ever completed.

Recommendation – considering equalizing the services for all children, as it appears as though OOHC children receive more expedient services.

#### **Central Office Response – Out-of-Home Care Branch**

SOP (Standards of Practice) 7E.1.3 (B) states: The SSW (Social Services Worker) completes a criminal background check and child abuse and neglect check on the caretaker relative and each adult household member, and a child abuse and neglect check on each adolescent household member prior to the child's placement with the caretaker relative.

- 1. The SSW completes the <u>relative home evaluation</u>.
- 2. In some cases, the FSOS (Field Services Office Supervisor) approves placement in the relative's home after completing the records checks and home visit but prior to completion of the written home evaluation. If this occurs, the SSW completes the home evaluation within thirty (30) working days of the placement.

Best practice indicates the home evaluation should be completed prior to placement of the child in the relative's home. The home evaluation guides the decision as to the appropriateness of the placement for the child. The SSW has up to thirty (30) days to write the evaluation.

OOHC and Relative Placements have differing requirements due to the distinct nature of each. While foster parents providing OOHC are approved prior to the placement of a child, relative placements are crisis-driven and without time to pre-plan services. When DCBS places a child in OOHC, the foster parent(s) have completed 30 hours of pre-service training, thorough background checks (NCID, CAN, and Kentucky State Police for all adults in the home and CAN for Children 12+), credit and personal references, home environment requirements, health screenings and income requirements. It takes 4-5 months for a foster parent to complete the process.

Relative placements and kinship care placements must meet similar requirements initially, with the exception of training. DCBS doesn't have the advantage of approving the relative home evaluation prior to placement.

Background checks are completed to address potential risks. It takes 2 weeks

for the NCID checks to clear for relatives who have resided out of state within the last 5 years. The Kinship Care Specialist and the SSW work together in evaluating the relative.

Kinship Care relatives play a more active role in advocating for services. They do not receive the same benefits as foster parents, such as automatic approval of day care for working parents, clothing vouchers upon entry and yearly for school, training opportunities, assistance with transportation, and assistance with senior pictures and life books. OOHC and Kinship Care are funded through two different programs. Kinship Care is funded through Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and Foster Care is funded through Title IV E.

Kinship Care Providers are provided with a one-time start-up cost of up to \$350.00 per child if the need can be justified and documented in the home evaluation. Start-up costs cover the costs of items such as school clothing, school supplies, a deposit for a larger residence, furniture, baby items and an attorney if needed by the care taker relative in obtaining permanent. Kinship Care Providers also receive a medical card for all children in the home. They must obtain permanent custody within one year of receiving Kinship Care.

Currently, only 7.1% (511) of the children are placed in relative placements who do not receive Kinship Care. There are 8,869 children receiving kinship care benefits. Kinship care is an alternative to foster care and is not designed to be an equivalent system. It preserves the family and is less traumatic for the child.

2) Training for resource parents is extensive, but no known specialized training for relative placements.

Concern – Relative placement providers may have no training nor have the supports in place to assist in making this placement successful.

Recommendation – consider alternative ways to include relative placement providers in training opportunities or an avenue to seek assistance without the perception of failure being associated with seeking support.

#### **Central Office Response -**

Currently there are no trainings specific to relative placements. The DCBS (Department for Community Based Services) recognizes there can be many challenges in the caretaking of these children. The KY Department for Aging and Independent Living offers three assistance programs; National Family Caregiver Support Program, KY Caregiver Support Program and the Aging Caregiver Support Program. The KY Family Caregiver Program was created in 2006 to help grandparents caring for grandchildren. It is administered by the regional Area Agencies on Aging. Assistance is provided to grandparents in Kentucky who are the primary caregiver for a grandchild age 18 and younger. Grandparent is defined as related by blood, marriage or adoption of the parent. Grandparents of

any age who meet financial guidelines and are not receiving Kinship Care can receive financial assistance or supportive services that include:

- information about services that are available
- assistance in gaining access to services
- individual counseling, support groups and caregiver training to assist caregivers in making decisions and solving problems related to the caregiver role
- financial assistance in the form of a grant or voucher for expenses related to the child

The Division for Aging and Independent Living (DAIL), sent packets of information on these programs to each Service Region Administrator (SRA). A letter explaining the programs and brochures were sent to each DCBS office. However, all staff may not be aware of the programs as services aren't being fully accessed. It may be prudent for information to be sent once again to all offices. Kinship Care Specialist's should receive the brochures and a letter encouraging them to provide the information to relatives who seek assistance and are not eligible for Kinship Care.

Lisa Durbin, Branch Manager for the Child Safety Branch, has extensive involvement with the Kinship Care (KC) Program. According to Ms. Durbin, KC providers can attend training opportunities offered to foster parents. While it is the responsibility of their DCBS foster care worker to get information to them, it appears a lack of coordination is a factor.

3) There is a local Eastern Mountain Policy that states when OOHC children return home, visits from the worker occur once a week the first month, then every other week the next month and then every 30 days thereafter. Concern – this policy is only for children leaving OOHC, therefore not providing the same level of supports for relative placements.

Recommendation – consider giving all children equal opportunity to succeed in the placements by providing similar level of supports to the child and family.

#### **Central Office Response-**

This policy is an Eastern Mountain Regional policy; it is not SOP (Standard Operating Procedure). Currently with caseloads and staffing issues, this policy has not always been feasible and is not practiced consistently across the region. The practice was initiated to help prevent/address re-entry into OOHC (Out-Of-Home Care); therefore, it was not used with relative placements. It would be ideal to use the practice with all children returning to their parent's home whether from foster care or a relative placement. This would help to transition the children home and promote stability in the home once the children are returned. This is a protocol that as a region we are reviewing to see if it is still a manageable task for the region.

There could be numerous services put into place to ensure the safety of the child upon return to the parent. Normally this is a transition and the SSW should be able to identify the high risk behavior and what has changed. The SSW will determine in either type of placement what protective safeguards will be needed to ensure the child's safety.

4) When a child is placed back home after being in out of home placement there needs to be a new case plan within 5 days. There appears to be time limits for case plans in all the other areas but this one, and we hope that this may assist with some reduction of reentry due to the fact that a child goes home and there isn't a new case plan to address their return or to assist with continuing to remain at home).

#### **Central Office Response-**

Although there isn't a formal new case plan when child is returned to home; the existing SOP/Regulation allows for modifications of the current case plan. The worker and family sit down and make necessary changes when child returns home. This can also include Safety Plans. It is imperative the family takes part in the modifications.

5) A case plan is reviewed every six months and recommendation acted upon but we felt six months is too long to wait to address a problem. There should be a review and formal follow up on the case plan in a more timely manner such as possible every two months so that if there is a problem then a new case plan is developed and services given sooner than later when things could potentially get worse A case plan is good for six months and can be modified and evaluated more often. The recommendation is that the case plan have formal reviews more frequently and maybe even on monthly visits.

#### **Central Office Response-**

The case plan is continually monitored during home visits. If there are significant issues and/or concerns, a special review could be arranged and modifications made to the plan as appropriate. With these parameters, a planned review every six months allows time for the plan to be implemented prior to review. Two (2) months though seemingly feasible, is basically not sufficient time to begin a new plan. Case plans can be very detailed and every opportunity must be given for the family to succeed. Family Team Meetings (FTM) involves family members, community partners and others with direct interest/involvement with the family.

#### **SOP 7C.4** addresses the issue of monitoring the case plan:

Ongoing assessment of the family's progress towards the negotiated objectives and tasks are provided, at minimum, by:

- 1. Monthly face-to-face contact by the SSW with the family and children in the home, including identified fathers as outlined in SOP 7E.1.1(B);
- 2. Quarterly contact by the SSW with community partners;

- 3. Family Team Meetings within every six (6) months for In-Home cases and (3) months for Out-of-Home Care (OOHC) cases at a minimum; and
- 4. A new/revised ongoing CQA may be completed and the Case Plan revised as outlined in <u>7C.16 Case Plan and Visitation Agreement Revisions and Modifications</u> when significant changes in the family occur, such as:
  - (a) Change in the composition of the family;
  - (b) Loss of job;
  - (c) Change in family income;
  - (d) Loss of basic needs being met; and
  - (e) New referral.
- 5. A new/revised ongoing CQA is completed and the Case Plan revised when a change in placement of the child occurs.

## SOP 7C.7.1 - Five (5) Day Conference, Family Team Meeting (FTM)

- 1. The SSW obtains current assessment information from the investigative SSW, the petition for removal and may consider information from previous CQAs to assist in completing the Case Plan.
- 2. The next ongoing Case Plan is due within six (6) months from the child's Temporary Removal Hearing (TRH) and order of temporary custody or placement date of a voluntary commitment, unless significant changes in family circumstances occur, requiring a new CQA and Case Plan.
- 9. The SSW submits to the court a Case Plan no later than thirty (30) calendar days after the effective date of the court order for each child placed in the custody of the Cabinet by either a commitment order or <a href="Temporary Custody Order">Temporary Custody Order</a>. At the same time, the SSW sends a copy of the Case Plan to the Administrative Office of the Courts Citizen Foster Care Review Board Program.

#### SOP 7C.7.2 – Three (3) Month FTM Case Review

- 1. Family Team Meeting (FTM) case reviews, may be held by the SSW as appropriate, but are required to be held within three (3) months of a child's Temporary Removal Hearing (TRH) and order of temporary custody or placement date of a voluntary commitment.
- 2. A new CQA and Case Plan is <u>not</u> required for the Three (3) Month FTM Case Review and subsequent case reviews, however is required for the Six (6) Month FTM Periodic Review and all subsequent Periodic Reviews.
- 3. Subsequent FTM case reviews are required to be held within six (6) months of the previous review (e.g., Nine (9) Month FTM Case Review) while the child remains in OOHC.

#### SOP 7C.7.3 – Six (6) Month Periodic Review

- 1. Family Team Meeting (FTM) Periodic Reviews, may be held by the SSW as appropriate, but are required to be held within six (6) months of a child's Temporary Removal Hearing (TRH) and/or order of temporary custody or placement date of a voluntary commitment.
- 4. The SSW submits a Case Plan, at minimum, once every six (6) months to the court and the Administrative Office of the Courts Citizen Foster Care Review Board Program.

- 7. Public Law 96-272 requires the participation of one objective third party in the Periodic Review, who is not the case manager or in the line of supervision for that case. The Service Region Administrator (SRA) or designee approves third party participants who are not professional staff.
- 9. Upon review of the CQA, Case Plan and Visitation Checklist/Summary the FSOS or designee assesses the progress of the family during the FTM Periodic Review. If the family has not made sufficient progress and the case is currently a concurrent planning case, the SSW considers changing the permanency goal to the alternate permanency objective.

# SOP 7C.7.4 - Nine (9) Month FTM Case Review

- 1. The SSW establishes the dates of the <u>Twelve (12) Month Periodic Review</u> and sends a copy of the <u>DPP-165</u>, <u>Permanency Hearing Notification</u> to the court requesting a <u>Permanency Hearing</u> no later than sixty (60) calendar days prior to the required due date, which is:
  - (a) No later than twelve (12) months from the date the child entered OOHC by order of temporary custody during the Temporary Removal Hearing or placement as a result of voluntary commitment; and
  - (b) Every twelve (12) months thereafter if custody and out-of-home placement continues.

Pursuant to <u>KRS 610.125 (3)</u> the court is required to schedule a hearing within sixty (60) days of this notice and notify all concerned parties as noted on the DPP-165.

# <u>SOP 7C.7.5 – Twelve (12) Month FTM Periodic Review and Permanency Hearing</u>

- 2. The Permanency Hearing, which is required no later than twelve (12) months from the date the child entered OOHC by order of temporary custody following the Temporary Removal Hearing or placement as a result of voluntary commitment and every twelve (12) months thereafter if custody and out-of-home placement continues.
- 6) We realize that "kinship care" sits out there kind of alone, and that when a child is in relative placement services offered to them are very limited and different than if in foster care placement. due to the fact that once the child is removed and placed with relatives dynamics change and this isn't just a typical child one takes in but a child who has obviously been through something and will therefore react and relatives need to know how to deal with this and what to expect--as well the fact that this is an added expense and struggle for the relative and they are in need of services such as respite and/or daycare. We would like to recommend that there be some extended time limited services for relative placement and that this include training for the relatives such as foster parents may receive just shorter in duration. These could include how to deal with grief, sexual abuse, and discipline.

## **Central Office Response-**

This is an excellent suggestion and is already being discussed Currently, once a child is placed with a Kinship Care relative, the case will remain open and services offered to both the parent and the child. If the child is in need of therapy, the SSW will record this in the case plan and develop a plan as to how to meet this need. The relatives as well as the foster parents are often a part of the case planning process. If reunification with the parent fails, then permanency will be established with the K/C caregiver and the case will be closed. The caregiver will then need to seek counseling according to the recommendations of the therapist. Most cases are open and services are offered for at least six (6) months and often twelve (12) months if the SSW is still trying to reunite the child with the parent or the judge refuses to grant permanent custody. It would be supportive if the agency could offer all relatives a short version of parenting classes. Most counties have parenting classes that a relative could attend in these types of situations, and the relative can also register for these classes on their own.

SOP 7E.1.7 (D) supports the narrative above: The SSW shall provide services or facilitate access to services for the kinship caregiver and the child, including case management, described in the child's permanency plan for at least six (6) months, beginning with the date of the placement of the child with the kinship caregiver, or until the kinship caregiver has permanent custody of the child.

7) We believe that a family liaison/family mentor (similar to the S.T.A.R.T program in Martin County) would be helpful both for relatives doing relative placement and for parents who have their children removed and placed back. We think that this kind of support may help thwart problems before removal and the hope that the folks would talk to the family mentor when in need.

#### **Central Office Response-**

Tina Willauer is the Director of the Kentucky Sobriety Treatment and Recovery Teams (K-S.T.A.R.T) program. She provided a historical perspective for K-S.T.A.R.T:

S.T.A.R.T was originally implemented in Kenton, Jefferson and Barren Counties in October of 2007 (although planning began in late 2006). These three sites began officially serving families in October of 2007. Since then we were awarded a federal grant through the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) to implement S.T.A.R.T in Martin County. Planning is underway in Martin County and they will begin serving families in September of 2008. The Barren County S.T.A.R.T program encountered implementation problems, and has been terminated. S.T.A.R.T is currently operational in Kenton, Jefferson and Martin Counties. Kenton and Jefferson are state funded and Martin is federally funded.

S.T.A.R.T is an intensive intervention model for substance abusing parents and families involved with the child welfare system that integrates addiction services, family preservation, community partnerships, and best practices in child welfare and substance abuse treatment. The program aims to address systems issues

that result in barriers to families being able to access services in a timely manner. It requires an approach to service delivery that involves cross-system collaboration and flexibility to meet the unique needs of this population.

S.T.A.R.T. philosophy is rooted in the Annie E. Casey Foundation's Family to Family values and is based on a collaborative approach to working with families. It is a component of the Cabinet for Health and Family Services' comprehensive Partners in Prevention program.

K-S.T.A.R.T is based on the successful and nationally recognized S.T.A.R.T. program in Cleveland, Ohio. Kentucky has modified and evolved the model to fit the needs of Kentucky families. While Martin County has been awarded federal funding to implement K-S.T.A.R.T, state funding is being used to fund the program in two other counties in KY: Kenton and Jefferson. Kenton and Jefferson counties began serving families in October of 2007 and Martin County will be serving families by September of 2008.

The target population to be served in S.T.A.R.T. will include families dealing with substance abuse issues with a child three or younger who have a substantiated case of abuse or neglect with DCBS; or families identified as a Family in Need of Service due to a mother testing positive for drugs at the time of a child's birth.

The key components of K-S.T.A.R.T are:

- The pairing of a specially trained Child Protective Services (CPS) worker and a Family Mentor to share a caseload of families with the co-occurring issues of substance abuse and child maltreatment where at least one child is 3 or younger;
- The Family Mentor brings real-life experience to the team and is a recovering person with at least 3 years sobriety and previous CPS involvement. She/he is rigorously screened and intensively trained and supervised to provide K-S.T.A.R.T clients with both recovery coaching and help navigating the CPS system;
- Reduced caseloads for the K-S.T.A.R.T team of 15 families per worker/mentor pair;
- 12 basic tenets outline the program philosophy and collaboration;
- Program fosters integration between CPS, substance abuse treatment providers and other community partners by addressing differences in professional perspectives that have resulted in fragmentation of services.
- A service delivery model that is more frequent, intense and coordinated, seeking to intervene quickly upon receipt of the referral to CPS;
- Quick access to substance abuse treatment, close collaboration among CPS and service providers, and shared decision-making among all team players, including the family;
- The use of TANF funding to pay for substance abuse treatment in Kenton and Jefferson;

- Collaboration with community partners, substance abuse providers, the courts, and the child welfare system dedicated to building community capacity and making K-S.T.A.R.T work;
- Sober parenting supports that include flexible funding for meeting basic needs such as housing and child care and intensive in home services;
- A holistic assessment for all clients, addressing substance abuse, mental health, domestic violence, and intellectual ability, and;
- Extensive program evaluation to indicate and document the program achievements and weaknesses. Evaluation findings are used to empower program improvements.

Specific objectives are to reduce recurrence of child abuse/neglect, provide comprehensive support services to children and families provide quick and timely access to substance abuse treatment, improve treatment completion rates, build protective parenting capacities, and increase the county, region and state's capacity to address co-occurring substance abuse and child maltreatment.

Ms. Willauer was asked if K-S.T.A.R.T would be applicable to use for relative and parenting mentoring as recommended by the panel. She responded: "The S.T.A.R.T program model is specifically designed to address the co-occurring issues of substance abuse and child maltreatment. So this official model has not been used to serve any other population. I am sure that there are likely places in the country that may use a similar model to address other populations but I am not aware of any program specifics." However, she did share information on another program which may meet that need. "The Parent Advocate program is similar in philosophy to S.T.A.R.T as it pertains to birth parent engagement. In Jefferson and Kenton Counties they are using Parent Advocates to assist in child protection cases. The Parent Advocate is a parent who has been through the child protection system (CPS) and in most cases has been successful in regaining custody or maintaining custody of their children. Many are also recovering addicts but not all of them. There is a push to recruit parents that have experienced a variety of issues aside from just substance abuse. They are recruited to work in tandem with the CPS social worker to assist parents in navigating their way through the CPS system. They are able to engage birth parents in ways that CPS may not be able to and they are of great support to the birth parent's efforts in maintaining or regaining custody of their child. The Parent Advocate model is very much a new way of doing business in CPS and programs are being developed across the nation." Parent Advocates in Jefferson County are funded through Jefferson's Family to Family Program (Annie E. Casev).

8) There appears to be no follow up and community partners aren't aware of them (aftercare plans) and the part they may play with these families. Aftercare plans need some type of follow-up. For example, there could be a family maintenance team or aftercare team and they could ensure that the family follows up with aftercare plans. There needs to be some follow up, time-

limited support, and education and involvement of community partners in development and follow-up with aftercare plans. Finally, we encourage the use of more frequent and timely family team meetings.

## **Central Office Response -**

Aftercare Plans can provide a guide for the family as to issues identified as continuing factors in the well-being of the family. There has been discussion regarding Aftercare Plans in the regions and Central Office. Cases are not closed until safety concerns are resolved and risk factors eliminated. The Aftercare Plan is developed by the SSW, appropriate family members and others as a proactive planning step. There is an effort by the DCBS to keep the same worker for the family. This provides consistency and continuity. The family often feels a sense of disruption and intrusion when DCBS becomes involved. Maintaining the same worker is usually a benefit in this regard. Community partners often play a vital role in service provision to the family and are included in the Aftercare Plan when they provide ongoing services. The SSW is not required to maintain an open case until the family completes all items identified on the Aftercare Plan. For example; the Aftercare Plan indicates the family will participate in counseling until released by the therapist. The SSW relies upon the service provider to notify DCBS of any concerns or non compliance with the plan. There is certainly room to communication between the DCBS and service providers and to make the provider a more integral part in planning for the family. SOP 7G details the development of the Aftercare Plan and the role and responsibility of community partners.

- 1. Once the SSW, upon consultation with the FSOS, identifies that an Aftercare Plan is needed, the SSW:
  - (a) Explores with the family and family's support system the need for continued services of another type or from another community partner;
  - (b) Develops with the family, including identified fathers as outlined in SOP 7E.1.1(B) and the family's support system a specific and measurable plan for the family to obtain services to address existing needs;
  - (c) Establishes with the family and the family's support system additional and potential resources that may be called upon to prevent maltreatment or home instability, should a situation or crisis arise in the future;
  - (d) Provides any needed referrals; and
  - (e) Advises persons served that they may request help from the Cabinet in the future.
- 4. The SSW negotiates the Aftercare Plan with the family and other relevant individuals involved. The plan is signed and dated by everyone who has a task/role on the plan and a copy provided to:
  - (a) Family members, including children of appropriate age;
  - (b) Alleged perpetrators (when safe to do so);
  - (c) Relatives; and
  - (d) Community partners involved.

- 5. When community partners are included in aftercare planning and are assigned specific tasks, the SSW documents on the aftercare plan that Community partner(s) will notify the SSW if the family has difficulty complying with the plan.
- 6. The FSOS reviews the Aftercare Plan with the SSW and documents the review in the case record.
- 7. The SSW files a hard copy of the Aftercare Plan in the case record.

#### NORTHEASTERN CITIZEN REVIEW PANEL

1) CHFS adding a component to the CHFS Training Academy's Sexual Abuse segment. This component would include utilizing a CAC Executive Director to present training on children's advocacy centers. The worker would also be required to visit their regional CAC, spending a specified amount of time (possibly one day), becoming familiar with the local CAC services, employees and policies.

# <u>Central Office Response- Division of Violence Prevention Resources – Sharon Hilborn, Director</u>

The CHFS (Cabinet for Health and Family Services) is in agreement with the basis for the recommendation that both new and existing DCBS/Protection & Permanency (P & P). Workers should be trained about the advantages, services, and capabilities available through the network of Kentucky's fifteen designated Regional Children's Advocacy Centers (CAC). However, due to the time constraints, work loads, and differences in CAC from region to region, it may be more effective to select a different venue to orient new staff to their respective CAC.

As a result of the re-organization of the DCBS Service Regions in 2007, the CAC service regions no longer "neatly" match up with the DCBS Service Regions. In fact, some DCBS Service Regions now encompass all or part of multiple CAC service areas. Example:

- The Lakes Service Region is now served by Purchase Area Sexual Assault Center (CAC for Purchase ADD) (Area Developmental District), and Pennyrile CAC in the Pennyrile ADD.
- The Northern Bluegrass Service Region has counties that are served by three separate CAC: CAC of Northern Kentucky (NKY ADD), Buffalo Trace CAC (Buffalo Trace ADD) and CAC of the Bluegrass.

In order to accomplish the goal of increasing the linkages, referrals and P & P workers knowledge about CAC, it may be more advantageous to establish some type of orientation sessions for new and existing staff between the Service Regions or county offices related to the CAC that serves the respective Service Region or county.

It may also be advantageous to structure separate orientation sessions for new versus existing P & P staff. For new staff it may be beneficial for them to take a day and spend at their CAC to meet the staff, discuss policies and referral procedures and possibly observe some services. Orientation sessions for

existing P & P staff could be better handled through a "lunch and learn" type of meeting or some other structure that meets the needs of the two groups.

2) DCBS workers become familiar with CAC policies and state laws which mandate certain policies. To increase knowledge of current staff and to encourage building a partnership with local CAC, supervisors should schedule quarterly meetings/trainings with CAC executive director or other CAC designee. DCBS workers can work with CAC to coordinate referral processes and discuss any concerns regarding increasing availability of services.

# <u>Central Office Response – Division of Violence Prevention Re</u>sources

CHFS is in agreement that increased coordination and communication between P & P staff and the network of designated, regional, CAC will enhance services and positive outcomes for the children and families served. If the "orientation" process discussed in #1 were implemented, the issue related to releasing taped forensic interviews and the other items mentioned in #2 would be items to be covered in the orientation process.

It should be noted that KRS 620.050 (10) explicitly details the persons/agencies that are able to access copies of taped forensic interviews conducted at CAC. Additionally, KRS 620.990 establishes that violations of the chapter are a Class B Misdemeanor.

3) Transportation issues were voiced as concerns during interviews. Families have trouble affording transportation to CAC especially if located in a county. **CAC's should look into ways to assist families with transportation cost.** Request for gas card donations, applying for grants to establish assistance funds at the CAC, and other fundraising efforts might prove helpful.

#### **Central Office Response – Division of Violence Prevention Resources**

Due to the rural nature of Kentucky, transportation to service centers/agencies is always identified as a potential barrier to accessing services. This issue is further complicated by the sharp increases in fuel costs within the past year. The increase in fuel costs is also a complicating factor for the DCBS as their workers are frequently traveling by automobile.

Efforts to maximize the effectiveness and efficiency of travel may be able to make some improvement in assisting families with the expense of traveling to CAC for services. However, in order to most effectively address transportation issues, CAC may need to direct some of their local fund raising efforts at addressing the transportation needs of their clients and prospective clients.

Another option would be for CAC to begin exploring options for outreach or satellite offices in other locations in their service districts similar to what the network of Rape Crisis Centers and Domestic Violence (DV) Shelters have done. The establishment of satellite or outreach offices reduces the travel burden on

clients for some of the available services while increasing the accessibility of the agency. Satellite or outreach offices would appear to be most beneficial in rural areas and large area development districts.

4) Shelters & DCBS develop and implement a multi disciplinary service team for each shelter that has a client with an open case

## <u>Central Office Response</u> <u>– Adult Protective Services Branch – Steve Fisher,</u> Branch Manager

Advocacy toward a multidisciplinary investigative design in each service region will continue to be a priority with the Adult Protective Services (APS) Branch. The chief barrier to the formation of these specialized co-occurring child abuse and domestic violence teams is diminished staffing patterns throughout DCBS. A subcommittee of the Governor's Council of Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault, Co-occurring Child Abuse and Domestic Violence, was formed in 2007 to examine this issue.

#### Central Office Response – Division of Violence Prevention Resources

CHFS is in agreement that increased communication and coordination of services between P & P workers and Spouse Abuse Shelters will lead to an increase in positive outcomes for the clients served, both the adults and the children. The use of multidisciplinary teams to advise, evaluate, and inform practice in cases of concurrent domestic violence and child maltreatment would be the ideal "best practice" standard. However, before implementation of the multidisciplinary team approach, there needs to be an enhanced coordination between P & P workers and the DV Shelters first. This initial enhanced collaboration would increase the likelihood of success when inviting other members of the multidisciplinary team to participate.

Some of the short-term benefits from enhancing the collaboration are listed below:

- Clarifications of roles of P & P workers and DV Advocates/Shelter staff;
- Increased knowledge and understanding of policy and procedure for both service systems;
- Dispelling the myth that DV Advocates/Shelter staff are only interested in the welfare of adult victims;
- Dispelling the myth that DCBS is only interested in the welfare of children; and
- Dispelling the myth that the concept of best interest of children is in conflict between P & P workers and DV Advocates/Shelter Staff.

In Jefferson County, through a project funded by the Casey Foundation, there was a marked increase in collaboration between P & P workers and the DV Shelter/Program which lead to enhanced coordination and improved practice,

through the use of a commonly accepted group of guiding principles. Adoption and use of the guiding principles is possible with minimal if any budgetary impact.

After P & P workers and the DV Shelters have been able to enhance and coordinate services between their two service systems, it would be beneficial to begin adding other disciplines to the team. Due to the high incidence in Kentucky and the fact that domestic violence and substance abuse are often cooccurring issues, utilizing the knowledge and skills of a Certified Alcohol and Drug Counselor (CADC) would be a recommended addition to the multidisciplinary group.

# 5) State provide funding for Mandatory Drug Testing.

#### Central Office Response -

The importance of drug testing in child protection casework is recognized. In the past, the Cabinet was able to contract with a private provider to conduct drug testing in situations where the use of substances was suspected. Funds for the contract were depleted in 2007. Since that time, the Cabinet has pursued other entities to provide this important service. Due to the current budgetary constraints, DCBS is unable to routinely pay for drug testing of clients.

6) Workers be accompanied by law enforcement when safety is an issue. Realizing this is a law enforcement shortage problem; our recommendation is that Law Enforcement and DCBS review, revise protocol/procedure for law enforcement officers to accompany DCBS workers on spouse abuse reports.

#### Central Office Response -

Domestic situations are some of the most volatile and are a leading cause of death for responding law enforcement officers. In Kentucky, Adult Protection Services are governed by KRS Chapter 209 – Protection of Adults, KRS Chapter 209A – Spousal Abuse or Neglect, 922 KAR 5:070 Adult Protective Services, and 922 KAR 5:102 Domestic Violence Protective Services authorizes the least restrictive provision of protective services in order to ensure the safety and well-being of an adult, while protecting the individual's right to self-determination and due process. The adult has the right to refuse services. SOP 4C.1 details the procedure for investigation of reports of domestic violence.

- 1. All protective service investigations require intervention techniques that consider the safety of the alleged victim and the SSW. Spouse/partner abuse or neglect situations may be particularly volatile and should be approached with caution. Safety of the SSW and the alleged victim is a critical factor when arranging contact with the alleged victim. When conducting a spouse/partner abuse or neglect investigation, the SSW:
  - (a) Attempts to arrange a face-to-face interview with the alleged victim to conduct the investigation and offer protective services; and,

- (b) Attempts to obtain information from the reporting source, law enforcement, court and other appropriate individuals as to where the victim can safely be interviewed.
- 2. The SSW follows the consecutive steps outlined below and documents attempts to contact the alleged victim of spouse/partner abuse or neglect:

# Step 1 - Telephone Contact (The preferred approach is telephone contact.)

- (a) If a telephone number is available the SSW attempts to call the alleged victim to arrange a face-to-face interview at a location that is deemed safe for the alleged victim and the SSW (e.g., DCBS office, shelter, workplace or court):
  - (1) If both home and work telephone numbers are available, it is preferable to attempt telephone contact at the place of employment;
  - (2) If unsuccessful, the SSW attempts to contact the alleged victim at home: or,
  - (3) If the alleged victim is currently residing in a spouse abuse center an attempt may be made to discuss the allegations with the alleged victim at the center.
  - It may be necessary to make numerous telephone calls in an attempt to contact the alleged victim.
- (b) If the alleged victim refuses to meet with the SSW, but agrees to a personal telephone interview, the SSW discusses the allegations to determine if abuse or neglect has occurred and offers protective services. The telephone interview meets the statutory requirement for a personal interview with the alleged victim who refuses to meet the SSW in person. During the conversation, the SSW:
  - (1) Attempts to assess the alleged victim's ability to protect any minor children in the home;
  - (2) Provides resource information to the alleged victim;
  - (3) Offers safety planning for protection; and,
  - (4) Provides the SSW's name, office telephone number, and the telephone number of the nearest spouse abuse center.

In order to promote victim safety, if a person answers who is clearly not the victim, the SSW does not identify the victim or identify the reason for the call.

#### Step 2 - Home Visit

If telephone contact is not successful, or a telephone number is not available, the SSW may make a visit to the home, when through collateral contacts (i.e. law enforcement, shelter staff, etc.) it is determined that neither the alleged victim nor the SSW may be endangered, and after consultation with the FSOS or designee.

#### Step 3 - Letter

- (a) The SSW sends the Standardized Letter in the following situations:
  - (1) When unable to successfully contact the alleged victim by telephone,
  - (2) No telephone number is available; or,

- (3) If the information indicates a visit to the home is inadvisable.
- (b) The Standardized Letter is sent in a plain envelope when attempting contact with the alleged victim;
- (c) At least one follow up Standardized Letter is sent in a plain envelope when no response is received from the alleged victim; and,
- (d) Upon the alleged victim's response to the Standardized Letter, the SSW attempts to arrange a face-to-face interview.

#### Step 4 - Process Issues

- (a) If a spouse/partner abuse or neglect report indicates that the alleged victim does not want to be contacted by DCBS, staff exercises professional discretion in determining the appropriate plan of action based on the nature of the report.
- (b) The SSW cannot initiate contact with the alleged perpetrator without the express permission of the alleged victim and if the alleged perpetrator is at home, it is inappropriate to interview the alleged victim at that time.
- (c) The SSW documents all attempts to contact the victim and the permission or refusal of the victim to interview the alleged perpetrator in the case record.
- (d) If child abuse or neglect is concurrently alleged with the spouse/partner abuse or neglect report or there is indication during the interview that the child (ren) may be in danger, the SSW generates a child protective services report and includes all allegations as part of the assessment.

## 7) A state vehicle for every county.

#### <u>Central Office Response –</u>

Available vehicles assigned to the DCBS are deployed throughout the nine service regions. It is not possible to provide all one hundred twenty (120) counties with a vehicle. Staff are also able to access a vehicle through the state motor pool as need arises. The SRA (Service Region Administrator) assesses the transportation issues of the region and collaboratively works with management staff on the utilization of available vehicles.

# 8) Each county office have at least one aide to help with transportation and supervised visitation.

#### Central Office Response -

The DCBS has benefited from the assistance of Transport Aides, who provide a valuable service to families and children and free up worker's time. Regional management is responsible for assessing and determining staffing needs in the region, including the type of staff most needed. Recently, DCBS has been able to post a limited number of Social Service Aide positions which may be used to assist with transportation and/or supervised visitation.

#### 9) New Spouse Abuse SOP's

□ Intake information from Centralized Intake for person's entering shelter was not forwarded to the county office in which the shelter is located.

#### Central Office Response – Adult Protection Branch

APS SOP 4C.2 articulates the expectation around this issue. The expectation is that Centralized Intake Staff will forward the DPP 115 report for assignment to the county in which the alleged victim is residing. This includes short term residency in shelter. When the DCBS staff in one county has contact with an alleged victim of spouse/partner abuse prior to the alleged victim entering a spouse abuse shelter in another county, the DCBS worker in the originating county completes the investigation. The DCBS worker may contact the DCBS worker in the county where the center is located and request a courtesy interview with the alleged victim.

□The SOP requires DCBS workers of the county the DV shelter is located to become involved with the shelter resident when they have no history of that family. The over all feeling is that DCBS workers from the county of origin should be involved in the investigation.

#### <u>Central Office Response – Adult Protection Branch</u>

APS SOP 4C.2 articulates the expectation around this issue. When an alleged victim enters a spouse abuse center without assistance or contact with DCBS staff, the county where the spouse abuse center is located conducts the investigation. If an incident occurs outside the county where the alleged victim resides the DCBS worker in the county of residence of an alleged victim conducts the investigation, if the victim did not enter a shelter in the county of incident. These expectations are largely centered on practical considerations concerning staff time and travel. Nothing in policy prohibits communication across county or region lines that would benefit the investigative worker from better serving the client. DCBS staff are expected to review prior agency history with the family and to communicate directly with other DCBS staff that has knowledge of the family.

Persons coming from other counties falling between the cracks. When they return to Home County there is no notification to the county of origin that the family had been in shelter and may need of continued service.

#### Central Office Response – Adult Protection Branch

APS SOP 4C.2 speaks to this issue. If an alleged victim requests services upon departure from a spouse abuse center, the DCBS worker from the county where the spouse abuse center is located notifies the DCBS worker in the county of relocation as to the need and the request for services. It is incumbent upon the adult victim to request this notification to the county of relocation. Confidentiality and self determination issues make this necessary.

#### **SOP 4C.2**

- 1. In order to accomplish coordination of spouse/partner abuse or neglect services the following procedures are taken by DCBS staff:
  - (a) The SSW discusses the services of the area spouse abuse center with each alleged victim during the investigative interview;
  - (b) If the alleged victim requests assistance in securing safe shelter in the area spouse abuse center, the SSW assists in making the necessary arrangements;
  - (c) After obtaining permission from the FSOS or designee, the SSW contacts the spouse abuse center and makes arrangements for accessing safe shelter:
  - (d) In rare instances staff may provide transportation to the spouse abuse center:
  - (e) If a request is made for the address or telephone number of spouse abuse centers, the SSW provides only the crisis line number; and,
  - (f) Due to the confidential location of many spouse abuse centers and the potential risk to the alleged victim and others, the SSW cannot disclose the location of a spouse abuse center.
- 2. The following procedures outline DCBS staff responsible for completing the investigation:
  - (a) When a SSW in one county has contact with an alleged victim prior to the alleged victim entering a spouse abuse shelter in another county the SSW in the originating county completes the investigation;
  - (b) The SSW may contact a SSW in the county where the center is located to request a courtesy interview with the alleged victim;
  - (c) When an alleged victim enters a spouse abuse center without assistance or contact with DCBS staff, the SSW from the county where the spouse abuse center is located conducts the investigation;
  - (d) If an incident occurs outside the county where the alleged victim resides the SSW in the county of residence of an alleged victim conducts the investigation, if the victim did not enter a shelter in the county of incident;
  - (e) If an alleged victim requests services upon departure from a spouse abuse center, the SSW from the county where the spouse abuse center is located notifies the SSW in the county of relocation as to the need and the request for ongoing services;
  - (f) If the alleged victim relocates, the SSW in the county where the alleged victim resided at the time of the referral forwards the referral to the county of relocation if the SSW in the county has not had personal contact; and,
  - (g) If personal contact was made the SSW in the county prior to relocation requests a courtesy interview from the SSW in the county of relocation.

□Both Partner Abuse Shelters and DCBS works believe a team service plan between the client, shelter and DCBS worker would be most beneficial to the client family system. That way all parties would be aware of all elements of the plan and the constraints if any that each party had.

#### **Central Office Response – Adult Protection Branch**

APS SOP 4E, 4E.1, 4E.2, 4E.3, 4E.4 and 4F.1 speak to this issue. The DCBS worker offers the spouse/partner victim the necessary protective or preventive services as identified in the assessment. These services are based on the voluntary request for or acceptance of services by the spouse/partner in need of services. A case plan is developed for the provision of services working with the adult victim and with the consent of the adult victim may include the following people in the case planning process: designated regional cabinet staff; family members; family friends; community partners including shelter staff or other individuals requested by the adult.

#### SOP 4E addresses APS CASE PLANNING. Policy specifically states:

The SSW offers the adult the necessary protective or preventive services as identified in the Adult CQA. These services are based on the voluntary request for or acceptance of protective services by the adult in need of services; the voluntary request for services by a guardian on behalf of the adult; or, court ordered protective services, which are specified by the court and defined within the court order.

In order to provide the most comprehensive services to the adult, the SSW is encouraged to participate in local coordination efforts with community partners including, but not limited to Local coordinating Councils on Domestic Violence, Local Coordinating Councils on Elder Maltreatment, Multidisciplinary Geriatric Crisis Response and Intervention Teams, Adult Fatality Review Teams or TRIAD's. Participation will enhance interagency knowledge and cooperation thus enhancing the safety and stability of abused and/or neglected adults.

#### SOP 4E.1 outlines Case Plan Procedures:

- 1. Within thirty (30) calendar days after the approval of the investigative results and a decision to provide on-going services, the SSW develops a case plan with the adult, caretaker and others as appropriate.
- 2. Adults have the right to choose whom to involve in their individual case planning and should be encouraged to involve friends or family members who have the potential to be helpful in some way.
- 3. The SSW, with consent of the adult, may include the following people in case planning:
  - (a) Designated regional cabinet staff;
  - (b) Family members;
  - (c) Family friends;
  - (d) Community partners (i.e. medical providers, faith based organization members); or
  - (e) Other individuals requested by the adult.
- 4. For voluntary cases the SSW identifies protective service needs to the adult based on the most recent Adult CQA.

- 5. If the most recent Adult CQA is over thirty (30) days old, a new CQA is required prior to the completion of the case plan.
- 6. Adult's voluntarily accepting services have the right to self-determination and can decide, with the SSW, which goal and objectives to address in their case plan.
- 7. The case plan must contain at least one family level objective (FLO) based on the Adult CQA.
- 8. The wording of the FLO is tailored to the adult's view of the problem and reflects their phrasing and terminology.
- 9. If the adult refuses to accept an FLO based on the highest risk identified on the Adult CQA, but agrees to an FLO which is not identified as the highest risk, the case plan is based on the objectives the adult wishes to address.
- 10. Case plans may contain an individual level objective (ILO) if the adult agrees or chooses to address such individual issues.
- 11. The ILO addresses personal patterns of behavior that are preventing an individual from achieving the family developmental tasks, however, it is not required that APS case plans contain an ILO due to the voluntary nature of these cases.
- 12. Tasks are completed for every FLO and ILO established in the case plan to identify what the family, SSW or other parties involved in the case are to complete.
- 13. There must be at least one task for each objective identified.
- 14. Rating anchors are only used to discuss an adult's concerns for their safety.
- 15. If the adult chooses not to have a family member involved (i.e. alleged perpetrator); the SSW develops the case plan only with the client.
- 16.In those circumstances the excluded person is not included as part of the FLO or ILO and should not sign the case plan.
- 17.In cases of spouse/partner abuse, the SSW should take particular care to assure the comfort and protection of potential victims by reassuring them it is their right to have services without designated others involved.
- 18.In cases of spouse/partner abuse, the first FLO addressed is physical safety.
- 19. When the alleged perpetrator is involved in the case plan, the first ILO should clearly address specific behavior surrounding the abuse, neglect or exploitation.
- 20. The adult, SSW, and others involved in the case planning sign the case plan. If the adult agrees to the case goals and objectives, but chooses not to sign the plan, the SSW documents this in the case record.
- 21. The SSW provides a copy of the <u>DPP 154 Service Appeal Request</u> to the victim. (Link to <u>SOP 1A.8 Service Appeals</u>). The SSW also provides a copy of the DPP 154 to the alleged perpetrator if they are requesting or being provided services.
- 22. The SSW must consider confidentiality a significant concern, since inappropriate access to the case plan might cause risk to the victim.
- 23. The FSOS approves all case plans.

#### SOP 4E.2 concerns the Prevention Plan in APS

- 1. The purpose of the <u>Prevention Plan</u> is to identify behaviors that the adult will address to prevent reoccurrence of abuse, neglect or exploitation. The Prevention Plan identifies high-risk situations and early warning signals/signs. It is intended to help the adult victim:
  - (a) Prevent the high-risk situation;
  - (b) Interrupt the high-risk situation early, if unable to prevent the situation; or,
  - (c) Escape the high-risk situation.
- 2. If the adult victim gives permission to contact the alleged perpetrator and the individual is willing to cooperate, or is court ordered, the SSW may develop a Prevention Plan with this individual.
- 3. It may not be necessary, unless court ordered, to develop a Prevention Plan if the adult chooses not to give permission to contact the alleged perpetrator or include this individual in the case plan.
- 4. In on-going spouse/partner abuse cases that include the alleged perpetrator, a Prevention Plan is used as the spouse/partner abuse control plan.
- 5. If a treatment provider will be assisting with the Prevention Plan, it may be necessary for the SSW to contact the treatment provider to assist the adult in explaining the Prevention Plan and its critical role in prevention or reoccurrence of the high-risk situation.
- 6. The SSW enters the Prevention Plan as the case plan.

# SOP 4E.3 describes the process for the ADULT PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN:

An adult may elect for safety reasons to work on individual issues separate from the family or care network, and it is within their rights to request that portion of the case remain confidential. The SSW and the adult may address the adult's ongoing personal development issues by developing a separate <a href="DPP-293">DPP-293</a>, Adult <a href="Adult Personal Development Plan">Personal Development Plan</a> within the case plan for the adult's individual level objectives (ILO). The adult may request that the DCBS-293, Adult Personal Development Plan, not be documented in the case plan, but remain a verbal agreement for the ILO.

- 1. If the Adult Personal Development Plan is written as their confidential portion of the case plan the SSW documents only those ILOs agreed to by the adult.
- 2. The plan cannot be shared outside of the Department with anyone other than the person for whom it has been developed without that adult's signed release.
- If an adult agrees to the development of the plan, and agrees to it being a part
  of the case record, the SSW places a copy of the written plan in the case
  record. The DCBS-293, Adult Personal Development Plan, is not entered into
  TWIST.

# SOP 4E.4 addresses the INDIVIDUALIZED ADULT SAFETY PLANNING UTILIZING THE PREVENTION PLAN

- 1. The Cabinet for Health and Family Services is mandated to offer services to protect adults from abuse, neglect, and exploitation. The SSW may use the <u>Prevention Plan</u> to address immediate safety concerns and provide the initial assistance and direction for such protection and prevention strategies to guard against additional abuse, neglect, and exploitation. The plan may be developed with the adult whenever there are safety risks identified such as:
  - (a) To assist in transition from the assessment/investigation until an ongoing case plan is developed;
  - (b) When abuse, neglect or exploitation is currently occurring as identified by the Adult CQA, and the adult elects to remain in the household; or,
  - (c) When ongoing services are planned.
- 2. As with all voluntary adult services case planning, the contents of the plan are agreed to by the adult and need to be as specific, detailed and practical to address safety concerns and reviewed and revised as necessary. At the client's discretion, the plan may be written, verbal or refused.

#### THE LAKES CITIZEN REVIEW PANEL

#### **Transportation**

1) Provide transportation for those parents who lack reliable transportation related to carrying out their plan (for example, to get to meetings, classes, visitation). In those areas where there is reliable access to public transportation, provide vouchers for transportation.

Rationale:

Some counties have limited access to public transportation (bus or taxi). In counties where there is access to reliable public transportation, some clients cannot afford the transportation. Transportation is provided for foster parents to get to meetings but not to biological parents.

#### **Central Office Response –**

The current budget has presented many challenges for the DCBS and the entire Cabinet. At this time, budget limitations will not support the Department's ability to provide transportation for clients

2) Give foster parents who have children of racial heritage who have different hair- and skincare needs from the caregiver some additional training and resources to help them take better care of the physical grooming and hygiene needs of these children. Provide a voucher for a visit to a hair- and skincare expert at least every six weeks. This expert will report back to the Cabinet that the foster parents are taking appropriate measures.

Rationale: children with ethnically specific hair- and skincare needs (we are referencing predominately African American and biracial children, but there may be other ethnicities with similar needs) which are unmet or unrecognized by caregivers from different ethnical backgrounds.

#### **Central Office Response-**

The training for Foster Parents who have children of different racial heritage already exists in SOP/REG. This includes grooming necessities as well as religious differences. All try to be respected. A worker during a monthly visit monitors this aspect.

Resource Home's receive reimbursements for a variety of expenses related to the care of a child in the custody of the Cabinet. The standard reimbursement per diem is structured to include personal hygiene needs, including hair and skin care. Requests for extraordinary or special expenses must be submitted for agency approval prior to the expenditure. There are specific requirements for the amount of funds children in foster care receive for allowance, clothing, sports, etc. The chart below details the expenditures.

Child's Age	Clothing	Incidentals	Allowance
0-2	\$25.00	\$6.00	N/A
3-4	\$30.00	\$5.00	\$1.00
5-11	\$35.00	\$5.00	\$7.50
12+	\$40.00	\$10.00	\$20.00

When a child comes into care and is placed in foster care, they receive an initial clothing allowance. A child can also receive a supplemental school clothing allowance if necessary.

Child's Age	Amount
Birth to 1 year of age	\$100.00
1 to 2 years of age	\$120.00
3 to 4 years of age	\$130.00
5 to 11 years of age	\$180.00
12 years of age and older	\$290.00

The FSOS approves an annual supplemental school clothing allowance to a child age three (3) or above, who has been in care more than thirty (30) days, has used the amount allotted for clothing allowance, is enrolled in school, and is placed in a DCBS Resource Home. The supplemental school clothing allowance for children:

- (a) Ages 3-10 is \$50; and
- (b) Age 11 and above is \$100.

The FSOS may authorize special purchases above and beyond the per diem and initial clothing allowance, up to \$250.

Children in foster care have \$650 for graduation expenses, including senior class ring, cap and gown rental, senior pictures, yearbook, prom ticket, graduation invitations and name cards. The \$100 school clothing allowance, if unspent, may be applied to the graduation reimbursement, bringing the total graduation allowance to \$750. A Resource Home parent will be reimbursed \$60 for Christmas gifts for a child. and \$25 for birthday gifts for a child. Receipts are not required.

Lifebooks are reimbursed up to a total of \$70 for the initial six (6) month placement period per child and start-up costs. Thereafter, an additional \$25 per child every six months may be reimbursed for maintenance of the lifebook. The lifebook follows the child through their placement history. Receipts are required prior to reimbursement being made in this SOP.

3) A child's plan must provide relevant cultural competence training specific to the child's ethnic background and family culture. For example (from a case reviewed): a private foster care provided as culture diversity content

a discussion of holidays limited to Christmas, Easter and Cinco de Mayo to an African American child, and the caseworker signed off on this.

## **Central Office Response- Out-of-Home Care**

The Department concurs that cultural competency should not be limited to the topics of Holidays and family celebrations. The case plan should be utilized to ensure specific tasks and actions are taken to meet a child and family's unique cultural needs.

- Cultural competency is incorporated in pre-service training for all foster parents as required under 922 KAR 1:350, 922 KAR 1:310 and SOP 3A.4 Pre Service Family Preparation Training. Segments of pre-service training focus on preserving a child's religious practices and beliefs. Foster parents are required to:
- 2. Provide opportunities for development consistent with the child or child's families religious, ethnic, and cultural heritage, the Resource Home parent is to:
- (a) Recognize, encourage and support the religious beliefs, ethnic heritage and language of a child and the child's family;
- (b) Arrange transportation (whenever possible) to religious services or ethnic events for a child whose beliefs and practices are different from their own. Workers assist foster parents in finding specific trainings to address the cultural needs of children placed in their homes. Additionally, all DCBS workers are required to complete cultural competence training. Workers are trained to assess the culture of each family.

SOP 7C.3 –Maintaining Cultural Connections provides guidelines for maintaining family connections and culture. The Cabinet is sensitive to need to preserve the natural heritage of the child.

- 1. The SSW assesses culture, which consists of all the ideas, objects, and ways of doing things in terms of describing the family's entire way of life, defined or observed by the family members and community partners.
- 2. The SSW uses positive aspects of the family's culture to motivate behavior changes.
- 3. The SSW assesses the needs of children, biological families and caregivers for cultural issues that will need to be addressed to maintain connections to a child's culture including identified fathers as outlined in <u>SOP 7E.1.1(B)</u>.
- 4. At the time of removal the SSW inquires of both parents whether or not either is of Native American heritage or a member of an Indian tribe.
- Upon finding a child is a member of an Indian tribe or eligible for membership in an Indian tribe the SSW consults with the Family Services Office Supervisor (FSOS) or designee on case planning and adheres to the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA)
  - (<u>Link to http://www.cdhs.state.co.us/cyf/Child Welfare/icwa/icwa Home.htm)</u>, which regulates placement proceedings involving Indian children to include:
  - (a) The family's rights to protection under the ICWA;

- (b) The family's rights apply to any:
  - (1) Child protective case;
  - (2) Adoption;
  - (3) Guardianships;
  - (4) Termination of parental rights action;
  - (5) Runaway or truancy matter; or
  - (6) Voluntary placement of children;
- (c) Placement cases involving Indian children be heard in tribal courts, if possible;
- (d) Permitting a child's tribe to be involved in state court proceedings;
- (e) Requiring testimony from expert witnesses who are familiar with Indian culture before a child can be removed from the home (except during an emergency situation or approval by the FSOS); and
- (f) If a child is removed, either for foster care or adoption, be placed with:
  - (1) Extended family members;
  - (2) Other tribal members; or
  - (3) Other Indian families.
- 6. The SSW consults with the FSOS or designee on any concerns relating to the Multiethnic Placement Act and Interethnic Adoption Provisions (MEPA-IEP),(Link to http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/cb/publications/mepa94/) in case planning and placement considerations for the purpose of:
  - (a) Removing barriers to permanency for children in the child protective system;
  - (b) Ensuring that adoption or foster placements are not delayed or denied based on race; color or national origin; and
  - (c) Not assuming that needs based on race, color or national origin can only be met by a racially or ethnically matched parent.
- 7. The FSOS researches the procedures with a Central Office specialist when questions arise concerning ICWA or MEPA-IEP.

# **Child Custody and Placement Issues**

4. There is a concern regarding what happens to a child when placement with an appropriate relative – which occurs without court intervention – extends beyond a reasonable term (such as 90 days or more). The Cabinet is appropriate in making these temporary placement decisions. However, in situations where relative placement extends beyond a reasonable term, and the parent(s) are not working the treatment plan or not progressing well enough to have the child returned, then the recommendation is for Court intervention to be sought.

#### Rationale:

Not only does the Court have the power to keep the child with the relative, but involvement with the Court would give the relative a legal custody document, as well as providing to the Cabinet the support of the Court to better motivate parents to comply with the treatment plan. It will also give the relative the power to seek permanent relative placement if reunification is not achieved, and give the child the permanency desired by AFSA. Finally, judicial supervision over the

placement of the child with the relative would add a level of protection for the Cabinet should something happen to the child while in the relative's care.

## **Central Office Response-**

The SSW can request by agreement with the parent that the child stay with a friend or a relative until the child's safety can be established. This should be a short term situation and should not include long term arrangements. If the relative placement does not provide the child safety and protection, and assessment indicates the child needs to be removed from the home, the court must be involved.

5) Change present foster care system to give DCBS more control over private foster care.

Rationale:

Private foster care company would screen applicants and then submit names to DCBS to more screening, which must include a personal interview by DCBS personnel before family is approved to accept children. Further, DCBS would provide all foster parent training. This would avoid much of the inconsistency of quality of care present in private foster care. Please also see Recommendation #15 below regarding the need for additional funding.

## **Central Office Response-**

DCBS and all private child-caring/child-placing agencies met on October 1 to begin discussions regarding the future of out-of-home care. As an outgrowth of that meeting, .focus groups will be held on the issues of: services to intact families and/or Kinship Care providers; treatment foster care; recruitment and training of foster parents; placement stability; treatment work with families of children in care; and specialty programs. Areas of concern specific to foster care include training components as well as the overall approval process.

6) Recommend private childcare homes and facilities adhere to specific child to-caregiver ratios (recommend NAEYC standards of child care ratios as recommended practice), particularly regarding care of special needs or medically at-risk children. Also, include in any caregiver to child ratio the foster parent's own biological or adopted children, regardless of their age if under 18.

# Central Office Response – Out-of-Home Care Branch

Child to caregiver ratios for Private Child Care Agencies have already been established in 922 KAR 1:310, Standards for Child Placing Agencies. The caregiver's own children are included in the ratios. Foster parents who provide care for medically fragile and therapeutic children have a lower ratio and are required to attend additional training and provide additional services to meet the child's specific needs. The Office of the Inspector General (OIG) provides

oversight to PCP agencies. OIG monitors the agency's contract and if the agency is in violation they could lose their contract if the identified deficiencies are not corrected. Below is specific language from 1:310 if you would like to include.

- (2) A therapeutic foster care home shall receive a minimum of twenty-four (24) hours of annual training.
- (3) A child-placing agency that provides therapeutic foster care shall maintain an ongoing therapeutic foster care preparation and training program that:
- (a) Provides a minimum of twenty-four (24) hours of annual training; and(b) Maintains a record of preparation and training completed.

Section 8. Additional Requirements for Therapeutic Foster Care. (1) A therapeutic foster care home shall accommodate the needs of a child who is unable to live with the child's own family and who:

- (a) May benefit from care in a family setting; and
- (b)1. Has clinical or behavioral needs that exceed supports available in a foster home; or
- 2. Is transitioning from group care as part of the process of returning to family and community.
- (2) The number of children residing in a therapeutic foster care home that does not care for a child in the custody of the cabinet, shall be limited to a total of six (6) children, including no more than two (2) therapeutic foster care children.
  - (3) Justification for an exception to subsection (2) of this section shall be:
  - (a) Documented in the therapeutic foster care parent's file; and
  - (b) Authorized by the treatment director.

- (4) The number of children residing in a therapeutic foster care home that cares for a child in the custody of the cabinet, shall be limited to a total of four (4) children, including no more than two (2) therapeutic foster care children.
- (5) The child-placing agency shall request an exception to subsection (4) of this section in accordance with 922 KAR 1:350, Section 2(2).
- (6) A treatment director shall supervise a treatment team and shall participate in the development of the ITP and the quarterly case consultation.
- (7) A child-placing agency shall provide or contract, as specified in KRS 199.640(5)(a)(2), for therapeutic services individualized for the child, as needed, at least two (2) times per month.
  - (8) A therapeutic foster care parent shall be responsible for:
- (a) Participation in the development of an assessment, ITP, and supervision plan as specified in Section 6(7) of this administrative regulation;
- (b) Facilitation of in-home services provided by a social services worker at least two (2) times per month;
- (c) Adequate supervision of the child and implementation of components of the ITP, including daily log documentation as specified in the ITP;
- (d) Working with the child-placing agency to promote stability and avoid disruption for the child;
- (e) Working with the child-placing agency in the development of a plan for the smooth transition of the child to a new placement, in the event of a disruption; and
- (f) Providing independent living services for a child twelve (12) years of age or older consistent with a child's ITP.

- (9) Except for a child who is the legal responsibility or in the custody of the cabinet or the Department of Juvenile Justice, the child-placing agency shall be responsible for:
  - (a) A preplacement conference, in a nonemergency placement, for the purpose of:
- 1. Developing permanency goals and a discharge plan for the child, including independent living services;
  - 2. Developing a plan for the implementation of services;
  - 3. Identifying the treatment goals; and
  - 4. Developing a behavior management plan if applicable; and
  - (b) Inviting and encouraging attendance to the preplacement conference by:
  - 1. The prospective therapeutic foster care home;
- 2. A respite care provider approved in accordance with section 13(4) of this administrative regulation;
  - 3. The child, if appropriate; and
  - 4. The child's family.
  - (10) The social services worker shall:
- (a) Have a first face-to-face visit with a child and therapeutic foster care parent on the day of the child's placement;
- (b) Have another face-to-face visit with the therapeutic foster care parent or child within ten (10) calendar days of the child's placement;
- (c) Telephone or visit, on a weekly basis, at least one (1) of the therapeutic foster care parents of each child on the therapeutic foster care worker's caseload;
- (d) Visit a therapeutic foster care parent a minimum of two (2) times a month with at least one (1) visit being in the foster home;

- (e) Visit the foster child face-to-face a minimum of two (2) times a month with at least one (1) visit in the therapeutic foster care home and one (1) visit outside the foster home;
- (f) Carry a caseload of not more than twelve (12) therapeutic foster care children, taking into account:
- 1. Required responsibilities other than the case management of a child in foster care;
- 2. Additional support, contact, and preparation needed by a therapeutic foster care home, due to the extent of the needs of the child served; and
  - 3. The intensity of services provided to the child and the child's family;
  - (g) Conduct a quarterly case consultation, including the:
  - 1. Foster home;
  - 2. Child's public agency worker;
  - 3. Child-placing agency treatment director and social services worker; and
  - 4. Child and the child's family of origin, to the extent possible;
- (h) Provide or contract for therapeutic services individualized for a child at least two (2) times each month based on the child's needs assessed in the child's ITP;
  - (i) Identify the support needed by the foster family, including a:
  - 1. Plan for respite care as provided in section 13 of this administrative regulation;
  - 2. Plan for twenty-four (24) hour on-call crisis intervention; and
  - 3. Foster home support group;
- (j) Recommend and prepare an aftercare plan for a child, prior to discharge from therapeutic foster care, to ensure a successful transition; and

- (k) Document a quarterly case consultation and revision to a child's ITP as determined by the case consultations.
  - (11) The child-placing agency shall:
- (a) Meet requirements specified in Section 6(1) through (3) and (7) through (11) of this administrative regulation; and
- (b) Annually reevaluate a therapeutic foster care home in accordance with Section15 of this administrative regulation.

Section 9. Medically-fragile Child. (1) A medically-fragile child shall be:

- (a) A child in the custody of the cabinet; and
- (b) Determined by the cabinet to meet the medically-fragile requirements of 922 KAR 1:350.
- (2) The decision to accept a medically-fragile child shall be optional to a childplacing agency.
- (3) If a child placed with a child-placing agency in a non-medically-fragile foster home becomes medically-fragile in accordance with subsection (1) of this section, the commissioner or designee and child-placing agency shall reevaluate the placement and ensure the child's needs can be met. Please refer to the regulation for additional information.
- 7) Look at level of care to be provided by foster homes and see if foster home is truly providing a higher level of care. One way to do this would be to compare services provided by most basic level foster home to see if there has actually been a change in service provided when the child's placement has changed. Also, recommend better and much more extensive training for those foster parents providing higher levels of care, both on physical and mental health issues with DCBS either providing training or directly approving third-party trainer(s).

# Central Office Response - Out-of-Home Care Branch

OIG (Office of Inspector General) monitors Private Child Placing Agencies to ensure they are meeting the terms of their contracts based on the regulatory requirements. Children placed in therapeutic, care plus or medically fragile foster homes receive more services (mental health counseling, physical therapy, occupational, medical equipment, etc.). They are more closely monitored by the Cabinet and require two (2) monthly face-to-face visits by the caseworker. The child's case plan and CQA should provide detailed information regarding specific services.

Recruitment and Certification staff also monitors these homes to ensure they are meeting their training requirements. Care Plus, Medically Fragile and High Risk foster homes are required to complete 24 hours of Cabinet Sponsored specialized training beyond the pre-service training requirement. The curriculums are based on national models and tested methods, which include components to address physical and mental health care needs. Additionally, DCBS specialized homes are required to complete 24 hours of Cabinet sponsored training annually. The Cabinet works with the training consortium and develops training to address the unique needs of the children and families. Trainers are utilized through the university training consortium and through select DCBS foster parents and R&C staff who have successfully completed the training of trainers.

Private Child caring foster parents who wish to become care providers for medically fragile children must attend the same cabinet sponsored training as DCBS foster parents.

# 8) African American and Caucasian children are at risk differently from the system. Some form of anti-racism training should be mandated for all foster parents.

Rationale:

Workshops should be offered in the school systems (including FRYSC's) about criteria for reports and referrals – focusing on offering more services to minority families that come to the attention of FRYSC's and on more speedy referrals for DCBS for Caucasian families. Further, we recommend that the Kentucky Dept. of Education add these training topics to their mandatory staff in-service requirements, along with the commitment to seek out qualified Cabinet staff or designees to deliver the training(s). Please also see Recommendation #15 below regarding the need for additional funding.

#### **Central Office Response -**

DCBS currently offers trainings related to investigations and placement decisions to FRSYCs throughout Kentucky. Each of these trainings focuses on special population issues and stresses the importance of assessing situations within a culturally sensitive environment. Discussions have occurred with the Department

of Education concerning training issues, but DCBS has no ability to force changes to their mandatory staff in-service training requirements.

Recommends that Kinship Care cases be eligible to receive Child Care Assistance (CCA), if necessary, for an indefinite period when children in the custody of the Cabinet are placed in their home. The assistance should NOT be subject to financial qualifications. It is the understanding of the Lakes Citizen Review Panel that Foster Care parents are eligible for this Child Care Assistance without financial restrictions, but that Kinship Care relatives must take permanent custody after a one-year period and will not receive the Child Care Assistance after that. In order to make for an equitable situation, it would appear that both families need to be eligible to receive the same assistance.

#### **Central Office Response -**

Per KAR 1:130 - Eligibility for the Kinship Care program shall not establish entitlement to a child care subsidy payment. Eligibility for child care assistance is determined by the child care broker and is based on income. While many agree it would be beneficial for K/C relatives to receive child care assistance and a kinship care subsidy, funds are not available to provide both at this time. The K/C relative receives \$300.00 per month/per child. This per diem can be utilized for day care expenses.

By design, the K/C program was not intended to be comparable to the foster care program. This enabled less stringent eligibility requirements for relatives; thus providing more children the opportunity to be placed in the home of a family member, rather than foster care.

# Community and Partner Education Issues, Especially Regarding Racial Disproportionality

9) Need better enforcement of DCBS guidelines concerning neglect and abuse for Caucasian children. This needs to begin with training in the school systems to FRYSC and other school personnel (including teachers, nurses, support staff, transportation staff, etc.) about what must be reported, by law. Investigations should not allow families with custody of children to claim if the custodial member[s] of the family is [are] not meeting the needs but another relative that lives close by is to have an unsubstantiated case but should open and follow-up on the case. Certainly anti-racism training is critical but a part of this training must involve teaching community members to see Caucasian children's issues through the same lens as community members see those of African American children. (It is assumed that African American families and children have issues, while issues with white families and their children are ignored much to the detriment of Caucasian children). Further, we recommend that the Kentucky Dept. of Education add these training topics to their mandatory staff in-service requirements, along with the commitment to seek out qualified Cabinet staff or designees to deliver the training(s).

#### Central Office Response - Jim Grace, Assistance Director P & P -

Mr. Grace has been involved with the Family Resource Youth Services Centers for many years. He responded to the recommendation by stating: "Live training is currently provided each year to new FRYSC Coordinators by DCBS staff. This training includes specific information about acceptance criteria and investigative requirements and placement considerations. Additionally, the training curriculum has been provided to FRYSC management to distribute to individual school systems throughout Kentucky."

"While DCBS sees the importance of addressing racial disporportionality, all investigations and placement decisions are made based on safety/risk assessments of individual families and children."

Training regarding Mandatory Reporting will be developed and made available on disk for each local DCBS office to use when asked to present on the issue. The goal is to provide consistent information. Local newspapers will be asked to run an article on mandatory reporting in order to reach a larger audience. The course will be available on <a href="https://www.train.net">www.train.net</a> under the MECAN (Medical Elements of Child Abuse and Neglect) series. The KY CARE (Child Abuse Recognition Education) program which provides peer-to-peer physician led training, also addresses the issue of appropriate reporting.

#### **Mental Health and Substance Abuse Issues**

# 10) Recommend more focus toward prevention and education efforts regarding alcohol and marijuana abuse.

Rationale:

Most case files in the two offices in which cases were reviewed involved substance abuse by of alcohol and marijuana. Perhaps require drug checks for parents with convictions related to alcohol and marijuana. Steps should be taken to insure a referral to Drug Court in every area where is available. If Drug Court is not available, mandate diversion to local drug programs until Drug Court is available. Certainly not ignore methamphetamines, but be equally vigilant about other drugs of abuse including alcohol, marijuana and prescription drugs.

#### **Central Office Response –**

Use of substances is increasingly seen in families involved with DCBS. The following statistics were provided by the Information and Qualitiy Improvement unit within DCBS. There are often barriers in accessing services for the parents who are using substances. One of the most prevalent factors is lack in therapeutic services, especially inpatient treatment.

Reports made between July 1, 2007 and June 30, 2008

Reports made between sury 1, 2007 and sure 50, 2000		
	#	%
Reports Investigated or FINSA	49,471	
Reports Investigated or FINSA with Substance Abuse	14,099	28.5
Neglect	10,281	72.9
Abuse	2,811	19.9
Substantiated Reports	9,843	
Substantiated Reports with Substance Abuse	5,670	57.6
Neglect	4,644	81.9
Abuse	1,026	18.1
Services Needed Reports	1,885	
Services Needed Reports with Substance Abuse	760	40.3
Neglect	338	44.5
Abuse	30	4.0
Reports with a Case Opened	10,111	
Reports with a Case Opened and Substance Abuse	5,744	56.8
Neglect	4,334	75.5
Abuse	756	13.2

#### Children who have entered OOHC since 2005

emarch who have entered o orrespined 2000				
	% of Children with	"" for Children	"" for Children	
	Condition Present at	under 12 at 1 <sup>st</sup>	12 and older at	
	Removal from Home	entry	1 <sup>st</sup> entry	
Drug Abuse by Parent	18.1%	23.6%	8.1%	
Alcohol Abuse by Parent	4.6%	5.3%	3.3%	
Drug Abuse by Child	2.7%	0.3%	7.1%	
Alcohol Abuse by Child	0.8%	0.1%	2.1%	

DCBS will train staff in the importance of assessing for and recognizing substance abuse. At present, staff are being trained on drug testing for newborns. The course is titled "Newborn Drug Testing - Implications for DCBS". This training was developed and is trained through collaboration between the Medical Examiner's Toxicology Laboratory, the Child Fatality Program Nurse, and the Child Safety Branch. The course is three (3) hours in length and is presented in two (2) parts.

The 1st 1 1/2 hours is presented by Michael K. Ward from the Medical Examiner's Laboratory. Mr. Ward's training is titled "Toxicological Elements of Prenatal, Perinatal, and Neonatal Drug Testing. His training objectives are: 1) when to pursue drug testing for your client; 2) Types of testing utilized in Drug Screens; 3) Specimens that can be tested; 4) Drugs that impact the safety of the baby (and mom) and how they do so; and 5) how to document your facts.

The 2nd 1 1/2 hours is presented by Debbie Acker, RN - nurse from the Child Fatality program and Steve Hartwig from the Child Safety Branch. The objectives of this part of the training are: 1) Distinguish the differences in drug testing in the urine and the meconium; 2) identify potential collateral contacts; 3) list types of written supporting documentation needed; and 4) Contrast the investigation of an infant still in the hospital and an infant who has already been discharged.

This training was piloted in Eastern Mountain and Southern Bluegrass regions and has been approved to go statewide. Evaluations thus far have been positive.

# 11) Better state funding for Community Mental Health Centers so that these are less dependent on available grant money to provide staffing and services.

Rationale:

More strict administration and accountability that all funds (State funds, grant dollars, etc.) are used to meet the documented needs of the community served should be required. Rationale: There are long waiting periods for services for mental health issues and an insufficient staff resulting in expensive referrals to private providers where these exist. Much of the service provided quickly is related to whatever funding was captured for the "trendy drug or topic" of the moment.

## **Central Office Response -**

Community Mental Health Centers are an integral piece of the service network for families. Many of the families receiving services from the DCBS do not have private insurance and have limited options for therapeutic services. The Community Mental Health Centers are heavily accessed and the DCBS concurs with the recommendation that additional funding would be beneficial. Panel members are encouraged to express their concerns to their legislators and to participate in advocacy efforts to achieve stable and increased funding.

12) Have content of groups and counseling through group homes submitted to and overseen by DCBS so that Cabinet personnel are aware of what children are really receiving. By this is meant the same kind of records that providers must give to insurance companies in order to be paid. These records would indicate the level of client change in compliance with the plan from one level to the next.

#### Rationale:

From reading cases and reports from service providers it is difficult to see what services are actually being provided.

#### **Central Office Response-**

The OIG, Division of Regulated Child Care (DRCC) ensure that licensed private child caring and child placing providers (PCC) are adhering to regulatory requirements, which include, based on the needs of the child, therapeutic

services. These services should be documented in the child's Individual Treatment Plan (ITP) that is developed and maintained by the PCC. DCBS meets regularly with DRCC and is advised when there are systemic concerns with a provider. DCBS also contracts with the Children's Review Program (CRP) who reviews information submitted by providers in order to determine an appropriate level of care (which determines payment). CRP also advises DCBS when it appears a child is not receiving the therapeutic services the child needs. The PCC provides the child's DCBS SSW with a monthly progress report which summarizes the progress (or lack thereof) that the child has made during the last month. This is another opportunity of oversight to ensure the child is receiving appropriate therapeutic services. Finally, DCBS requires PCCs to be accredited, which also imposes the accrediting body's requirements for treatment protocols and plans.

## **Additional Support for DCBS**

13) Recommend that DCBS be allowed to hire more paraprofessional social service aides to supplement, not supplant, existing professional Cabinet staff positions. Families would be more likely to accept services initiated through the use of social service aides who also could help with parenting and homemaker support. This would give a way to monitor a family that is borderline. Rationale:

Some cases are difficult to substantiate but referrals to DCBS seem ongoing. This would be a way to monitor these cases and keep them from escalating. This would allow degreed caseworkers to focus on the more serious cases.

#### **Central Office Response –**

Social Service Aides have greatly contributed to and supported the work of the SSW. They have also played an integral role with service provision to families served by DCBS. Recently, DCBS was able to post a limited, number of SSA positions. Decisions about work stations for these positions are determined by the SRA based on staffing needs within the service region. Community partners are currently utilized for parenting and homemaker support.

#### **JEFFERSON CITIZEN REVIEW PANEL**

1) The Cabinet should consider the implementation of a program whereby those attending training are reimbursed in advance of incurring expenses or upon completing that week's training. The Cabinet should take all necessary steps to enact a reasonable reimbursement policy and provide for immediate reimbursement on these limited issues which occur before a worker will have even received a pay check from the Cabinet.

## **Central Office Response – Training Branch**

Cost and timely reimbursement is definitely an issue. Most participant lodging rooms have refrigerators and microwaves which allow those who want to cut cost to do so. Training has brainstormed possible solutions with some groups. Suggestions have included refrigerators, participants bringing food to share, Pro-Cards for Academy students, cash up front, etc. Rooms at state parks can be directly billed to the cabinet.

The Academy rotates around 3 cities-Louisville, Richmond and Bowling Green. Exceptions have been made to reduce cost and make it more convenient (i.e, Jefferson had 75% of the participants so Academy was moved from Bowling Green to Louisville). Academy begins at noon on the first day and ends at noon on Friday to reduce the number of meals attendees must purchase and to prevent overtime travel. Throughout the years, the number of classroom training days has been reduced, however the skills, knowledge, and policies and procedures required to perform the job are ever increasing. On average at least 7 regions have new staff hired monthly so finding a most common place to conduct the training is virtually impossible, if a new group begins each month.

# <u>Central Office Response – Division of Administration and Financial</u> <u>Management – Renee Close, Director</u>

Ms. Close provided the following response, "Most of the department's funding sources require payment AFTER a service/expense has occurred. This makes the recommendation very tenuous to the limited funding capacity we currently have."

"The two employee credit unions offer a service that few seem to know about. Employees may request assistance financially due to the price of fuel. At the CCU staff can still get a travel loan and at the CCU and KECU staff can request an unsecured credit loan and can add amounts to the line of credit in the amount of the anticipated travel voucher. It can be payroll deducted and then paid off when the travel check is received. This is one way to help with cost of travel."

She cited the following website resources for staff. The Training Branch will be asked to provide this information to employees in the Academy and New Employee Orientation training.

http://www.ccuky.org/prodserv/loans/persnl In.html https://www.ccuky.org/prodserv/loans/travel In.html http://www.kecu.org/personal.htm

2) The Cabinet should review all course materials and eliminate those elements of the course work that would qualify the course for college credit, at a minimum for those individuals completing the training that have already received their degrees and do not require further college credits. The Cabinet should ensure that any academic requirements necessary for graduate credit are not unduly impacting the workers ability to perform training when in the office.

## **Central Office Response – Training Branch**

In the beginning of the university partnership it may have been true that the content was more academic. However, universities have done a very good job of hiring instructors with DCBS experience who understand the job. The university partnership and Training Branch philosophy sets the foundation for this training to be competency-based. The Academy includes some theory to help staff understand why a method is "best practice" or aligns with values, ethics and Standard of Practice. Also, it is impossible to teach a new worker about every conceivable situation they may encounter. Therefore, it is necessary that they have a conceptual foundation from which they can critically assess the complex problems they will encounter in their daily work. The lesson plans are written according to Adult Learning Theory which includes an Anticipatory Set, Instructional Input, Checking for Understanding, Guided Practice and Independent Practice components. Basically, what this means is we discuss why they need to learn a component, they learn the competency, we check to see if they know what they learned, they practice with a group or with guidance to insure they do something correctly and then individually **perform** the task. Sometimes all this happens inside the classroom. Sometimes it's at their office but it's usually a combination of the two.

3) If homework is to be assigned by the Academy to be completed during the "100 days in the office" then this homework cannot conflict with the daily training experience that a worker can earn by shadowing other workers and assisting on cases. Either the academy should limit the homework assigned, or find a better method by which the workers attending the Academy can incorporate their shadowing of workers with assignments (i.e. – Required to turn in a Family Assessment on a specific week, new worker would shadow a worker and complete, with supervision, the Family Assessment forms in TWIST.

## **Central Office Response – Training Branch**

Training Title	Location	Time
NEO	In Region	3 Days
Foundations	Academy-Course 1	5 Days
TWIST Part 1	"(2 Locations)	2 Days
Medical	"(2 Locations)	1 Day
Assessment	"	5 Days
Case Planning	"	5 Days
TWIST Part 2	"(2 Locations)	3 Days
Meeting the Needs of DV	Academy-Course 2	4 Days
Meeting the Needs of Vul. Adults	"	4 Days
Assessment and Case Management of CSA	Academy-Course 3	2 Weeks BB/5 Days
TOTAL		37 Days Training
	4 Days x 5 Weeks x 5	100 Days in Office
	Months	

To balance official learning and field learning is a challenge in every part of the work force today. When you need an employee, you need that person NOW not later. However, we also know—in this job—lives are at stake if someone makes a mistake. Therefore, training before they begin the job is a MUST. The above chart shows the actual days of travel and classroom time ("official training") taken from the 5 months it takes a new staff member to complete the entire Academy. As you can see, there are 100 days in the office; time for shadowing of fellow workers and getting to know the families that will become their new cases. We encourage this type of learning and provide workers and supervisors with exercises they can use in the office to reinforce and expand upon classroom learning.

In the past and sometimes currently, participants were given various numbers of cases the first day in the office. Participants were spending most of their training time standing in the halls on the phone to deal with their cases or missing training all together to attend court, transfer a child to a new placement, etc. Some staff were quitting within the first month because they were too overwhelmed. Upper management made a decision that no cases were to be given to new staff until they had at least completed Course 1. This was also critical from a liability stand point.

We agree that the bulk of tangible learning takes place in the field during a "transition of learning" phase. This is when what they have learned in "class" is applied directly to the field. We attempt to aid this "Transition of Learning" in various ways. First, we have prepared Learning Reinforcement Tools for each Academy course. These tools were developed to be used by the supervisor to challenge workers and connect classroom and field experiences. A big problem we currently have is supervisors are so busy, many find it hard to find time to use these tools or to mentor their workers when they are in the office. When staff are

as overwhelmed as our supervisors, it is difficult to handle all the day-to-day tasks of a supervisor, not to mention training a new staff.

Second, after teaching a skill or knowledge component in the classroom, staff is asked to apply that skill or knowledge to a case scenario, something they've seen in the field or a case they know they will receive. This is part of adult learning. For example, after going through the 10 Family Solution Skills, workers are split into two groups. The instructor and trainer each take a group of students to opposite sides of the classroom. The instructor/trainer becomes a parent in the given scenario. Participants play the role of worker and must demonstrate at least one of these skills. They may use more than one skill but must use the one given specifically to them. Other participants try to recognize the skill being performed as well as perform their specific skill.

The 100 days of work in which workers are not in "formal training" varies based on supervisors and regions. Participants report a whole spectrum of activities. Some will say they sit in their offices because there's nothing to do. Others supervise visits and transport clients to appointments. Others have reported that they were actually sent to school or day care to remove children. Balance is again a critical piece here. A variety of activities that build on classroom learning and prepare staff for future case work is important. Currently, what seems to work best for new staff is when supervisors split a case load among several workers but give the list of cases to the new staff. The new staff can then shadow several workers to get to know the families and various styles of case work before feeling responsible for the activities of their case.

At least 90% of case scenarios were taken from personal experiences in this field so at one time; they were "real" cases. Originally, we used a case scenario for the CQA that is turned in for a grade. We now ask participants to choose one of the cases that will be assigned to them and will need a new CQA in the near future. This allows participants to begin the CQA and allows the Academy instructors/trainers to clearly see areas where participants may be having difficulty.

4) The Cabinet should strive to adopt a "Hire Before the Need" mindset wherein the Cabinet is always hiring or training individuals to fill the job openings that come on a regular basis. The Panel understands the importance of training workers BEFORE they begin working in earnest with families, it is solely within the Cabinet's discretion and ability to correct the situation by either stream-lining the training process or "Proactively" and "Prospectively" hiring people to replace turnover so that they might be able to begin work at a quicker rate. Addressing the overworked supervisors by providing a steady-stream of workers, trained and ready to work will allow the supervisors to act in more of a mentoring role which everyone agrees is the ideal situation and most likely to foster the team spirit which must exist in each individual work group.

#### **Central Office Response –**

The Public Child Welfare Certification Program was designed to infuse additional bachelor's level Social Work graduates familiar with the Department's philosophy and work into the pool of applicants for available positions. This past spring, when hiring was very slow, PCWCP graduates from last December who were still seeking employment were offered the opportunity to attend DCBS required training so when they were hired they would have already met some of the training requirements. Personnel rules do allow for an overlap of positions, on a case by case basis, but the specific position has to be identified. Ultimately, DCBS hires based on the funding available to support positions. The Department is committed to proper training for each staff member along with coaching and mentoring in the local offices throughout the training period.

5) Probation is too quick. By the time the worker gets through the academy, there is very little time for the supervisor to determine how a worker is going to do with the job. Since the worker cannot take any cases, the supervisor's hands are tied until the worker has finished the academy, which can take up to 4 months. Probation is 6 months.

The Cabinet must simply change this requirement for individuals who are hired within the Cabinet and who must complete the Academy as a condition of their employment. Probation should extend a total of 6 months from the COMPLETION of the Academy training.

#### Central Office Response –

The hiring and personnel requirements regarding probation are determined by the policies and procedures mandated by the State Personnel Cabinet. The opportunities to amend these policies and procedures are limited; however, when those occasions do arise, DCBS may certainly provide input for consideration.

6) Workers must sign a release for the training branch to give the supervisors any information about how the worker is doing in the academy. Supervisors must ask the worker to sign the release. Most workers will not sign these releases and thus there is a disconnect between what is happening at the Academy and how the worker is evaluated within his/her own working group.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

This is a prime example of bureaucratic malaise hindering the effectiveness of the very program it exalts. The Cabinet, ACROSS THE BOARD, should require a complete waiver of all confidentiality with reference to grades, performance, and behavior for all training or education provided to employees at the cost of the Cabinet. If the Cabinet is paying for training, be it at a University or Seminar, as a condition of employment that an employee's performance, grade and behavior during the training are of great significance. All

the Cabinet must do is provide and mandate the execution of a waiver upon employment from the employee.

## **Central Office Response – Training Branch**

The Department continues to work toward this end for its employees. Supervisors have the authority to ask an employee to review his/her grades on assignments and/or participation any time. Grades reflect timeliness, attentiveness, cooperation in group activities, respect for others, and overall social work values, attitude and behavior. Supervisors simply ask the employee to sign onto Blackboard, go to the student tools area, click on gradebook, and they can review scores together.

7) Invest in communications with the supervisors to determine what portion of the training is ineffective or how the Cabinet can better respond to on-going changes in procedure that will produce the most prepared worker upon completion of training. The Cabinet should consider incorporating a "scorecard" of sorts on which a training worker can track the events that he/she has participated in and upon which the supervisor can comment and acknowledge the training has been completed. This recommendation is not meant to infer trainees should have cases of their own during Academy training but when in the office, they should be involved in specific case from start to finish to begin getting a sense of the system.

## **Central Office Response – Training Branch**

Training Branch staff meet every quarter to review comments from evaluations, survey results and suggestions received through emails or personal contacts. Also, content is based on SOPs, research on best practice, etc. It is certainly recognized there is a disconnect between what the academy offers and what supervisors think workers receive. Within the last 6 months LRPA (Learning Reinforcement and Program Application) training was held in two regions. Every supervisor in Jefferson Region and Salt River Region attended the training and had opportunity for input. This training had several objectives but the biggest one was to have a direct connection with the supervisors to see what worked and what didn't, to give them a walk-through of the Academy courses and again address the Learning Reinforcement Tools. Many supervisors stated they did not have time to use the Learning Reinforcement Tools or go over the CQA with new staff (a part of the student's grade).

8) The Cabinet should recognize that Jefferson County, like some other urban areas, faces challenges that are unique and should seek to tailor some or all of the training to address the unique issues with working in an Urban environment with greater numbers of cases, children, in some cases a more diverse culture, and with different proceedings in Court than other, rural counties. The Cabinet should consider an additional continuing education requirement for Jefferson County workers to complete which

would address Jefferson County Specific items during or immediately following the basic Academy training.

## <u>Central Office Response – Training Branch</u>

We believe that each of our offices throughout the 120 counties of this state provides unique challenges and opportunities for our staff. We have a process in place where as new staff members complete the training in the Academy, they will then return to their regions where the education and training they have received will be reinforced through the supervision, mentoring, and coaching that will be provided by their supervisor and team members. It is during this experience on a local level that the uniqueness of the area should be addressed and the new staff exposed to the regional specific education and training. If the regional management within Jefferson County would like to impose additional requirements they certainly may do that. The regional training coordinator and management staff in Jefferson should be able to identify the content.

9) Time management training is an absolute necessity. For the Cabinet to neglect to train new recruits in time management when so much of their job, happiness, and success will be tied to managing the needs of so many people is a disservice to both the workers and the families they serve. The Cabinet should add this as a component of training and should consider it a first, very important step to preventing worker burnout, the main cause in the large worker turnover.

#### **Central Office Response – Training Branch**

Time management training is and has been available and recommended within the first six months of hire on the employee's staff development plan. The curriculum is available to the Regional Training Coordinator in Jefferson County and is available upon request.

10) Team work should be one of the greatest instilled value taught at the Academy in order to foster an environment that will prevent the type of worker burnout which is causing the excessive turnover. Teaching the mindset at the Academy will give the worker's the tools to use to ask for help, and to know that asking for help is both encouraged and preferable to giving less than their best for the welfare of the families they serve.

#### **Central Office Response – Training Branch**

The values and concepts related to the TEAM concept is woven throughout the Academy curriculum. Staff are encouraged to seek advice, approval, and to collaborate with supervisors, central office and team members. Specific office and team protocols will need to be discussed and reinforced locally within the work unit and between the new employee and supervisor.

11) The Cabinet and the State Government need to work together to maintain the funding at its current level at a bare minimum and fight to increase the funding so that the proper number of workers can be brought into work cases.

# **Central Office Response -**

DCBS has strong support from Secretary Miller and the CHFS leadership in addressing and responding to workforce issues of DCBS, notwithstanding current constraints.

## SOUTHERN BLUEGRASS CITIZEN REVIEW PANEL

## **Recommendations from Family Team Meeting Group:**

- 1) Add some sort of "mentoring" aspect to the training of new front line workers coming out of the academy. These mentors should be those who are using best practices, are considered "good" supervisors and those who value the profession (not those who are burnt-out).
- 2) Provide additional training for those workers leading Family Team Meetings.
- 3) Clarify what the SOP says about team meetings so every worker is on the same page about the expectations and purpose of them.

# <u>Southern Bluegrass Regional Response - Grace Akers, Service Region</u> Administrator (SRA)

The SBSR (Southern Bluegrass Service Region) agrees that Family Team Meetings (FTM) are vital to establishing positive working relationships with families, addressing any critical issues that may arise in a case, and to develop case plans that the family can feel ownership towards and invested in. We recognize how positive the FTM format that UK provides for Fayette County has been for the clients in Fayette County and want to assure that this is being offered to families throughout the region. Two of the SRAAs (Service Region Administrator Associates) in the SBSR have developed FTM training over the summer of 2008 which will be provided to all supervisors and frontline staff in the SBSR during the fall of 2008. This training will assure that supervisors and frontline staff are given detailed information on; SOP related to FTMs, how FTMs should be facilitated, who should be involved in the FTM, and how to assure that families are given a voice and are heard during the FTMs. This training will also be offered at a later time as new staff are hired and begin working with families.

The SBSR also feels that new staff needs to be and should be mentored and trained by their FSOS and experienced team members as they work through the academy and begin working with clients. Although we do not have the resources to establish a mentoring program at this time, the management team will discuss with local office supervisors that new staff that have not been trained in facilitating FTMs should have their supervisor, an experienced team member, or an FTM facilitation service provide, facilitation services for all their FTMS.

#### Central Office Response –

A Family Team Meeting (FTM) is defined in SOP 7C as an array of conferences such as Case Planning Conference, Five (5) Day Conference, Family Case Plan Meeting, Family Unity Meeting, Family Group Decision Making, Case Reviews

and Periodic Reviews. Through the Family Team Meeting, members implement the Comprehensive Family Services (CFS) approach for the provision of services to achieve desired outcomes, pursuant to KRS 194B.010, Cabinet for Families and Children -- Functions.

A Family Team Meeting requires participation of family member(s), SSW (including internal Cabinet partners, if warranted) and community partners. Attendance by community partners that perform a service in attainment of the family's desired objectives as documented in the Case Plan qualify as an FTM. The SSW makes a concerted effort with the family to promote and explain the necessity for community partner involvement in case planning for successful attainment of desired outcomes. The SOP Manual has a Resource Section. Under that heading is a Five-Day Conference tip sheet and Promoting Family Team Meetings.

Central Office is currently reviewing policy to check for adherence to Kentucky Revised Statute (KRS) and Kentucky Administrative Regulation (KAR). The review is also to check for clarity. This process will be ongoing for the next several months.

#### Recommendations for the Educational Collaborative Task Group:

1) Once a year provide ongoing training to the education system on recognizing and reporting child abuse.

## Southern Bluegrass Regional Response – Grace Akers, (SRA)

The SBSR agrees that establishing positive working relationships with school personnel is vital. Regional management fully supports the idea of completing training with various employees of the educational system throughout our region. The training will be offered to school personnel in each county. The presenters will be local office staff, a member of the Centralized Intake team, and a CPS Specialist. This training will provide school personnel with a face to face meeting with local staff who they may have to one day work with, training by Centralized Intake staff on the referral acceptance criteria, in addition to how and when to make a referral, and the CPS Specialist can field questions about SOP and explain to staff the various tracks a case can take once being accepted as an investigation.

2) Once a year there should be an annual county meeting to evaluate progress in communication and collaborative efforts between the school systems and DCBS.

## <u>Southern Bluegrass Regional Response – Grace Akers, (SRA)</u>

The SBSR agrees and recognizes the need to make continual efforts to maintain relationships with local school personnel. Due to this recommendation the regional management team has establish a school liaison in every DCBS office

who school personnel can contact with any problems or questions they may have. In addition we will contact the local school boards and request that they also provide a liaison in their school system who DCBS staff can contact regarding any questions or problems. Furthermore, we will send out letters annually, from the local offices to every principal in the county letting them know who the DCBS liaison is in their county, and will offer to schedule a meeting between DCBS staff and school personnel. This letter will also make them aware of the training that the SBSR has developed for school personnel and offer this training to their staff.

3) Work to form a partnership with the Universities Education and Early Childhood Education programs to make sure that teacher preparation includes information on recognizing and reporting child abuse.

#### **Central Office Response –**

School personnel are vital to the identification of children thought to have been abused or neglected. Regions vary as to their partnership with the school system. Addressing the issue of child abuse and neglect to those individuals in college in any field of study would be appropriate. It certainly is important for individuals who will have direct contact with children. As previously stated, a Mandated Reporter course is being developed. The DCBS can make the disk available to appropriate entities.